Filed by: Merits panel

Box Interference Washington, D.C. 20231 Tel: 703-308-9797 Fax: 703-308-7953

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today is $\underline{\text{not}}$ binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

GEORGE A. PROVOST,

Junior Party, (P.N. 5,315,740)

V.

DAVID J.K. GOULAIT and DENNIS A. THOMAS,

Senior Party. (S.N. 08/441,503)

Patent Interference No. 103,718

Before: PATE, MARTIN, and CRAWFORD, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.

CRAWFORD, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

<u>Judgement</u>

In consideration of the REQUEST TO ABANDON CONTEST AS TO THE COUNT UNDER 37 CFR § 1.662(a), judgement as to the subject matter of Count I, is hereby entered against party Goulait, who is therefore not entitled to a patent containing clams 1 to 8, which correspond to the count. As a result, judgement as to the subject matter of Count I, is awarded to party Provost, who is therefore, on this record, entitled to a patent with claims 1 to 15, which correspond to the count.

```
William F. Pate, III
Administrative Patent Judge

John C. Martin
Administrative Patent Judge

Murriel E. Crawford
Administrative Patent Judge

Murriel E. Crawford
Administrative Patent Judge

Description

Administrative Patent Judge

Administrative Patent Judge

Description

Administrative Patent Judge

Administrative Patent Judge

Description

Administrative
```

Interference No. 103,718

Attorney(s) for Provost

William E. Booth Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

Attorney(s) for Goulait et al.

David M. Weirich
The Procter & Gamble Company
6100 Center Hill Avenue
Cincinnati, OH 45224

MEC:tdl